



# How to prove it: Phase transitions in logic

Florian Pelupessy

Tohoku University

SLS2016, Sendai, 29 January 2016

## Overview:

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Lower bounds
- 3 Upper bounds
- 4 Sharpening

# Introduction

# Introduction

Phase transitions for incompleteness are results of the following form:

- 1  $T \not\vdash \varphi_{f_n}$ , but
- 2  $T \vdash \varphi_f$ ,

where parameter values  $f_n$  approach  $f$  as  $n$  increases.

# Introduction

Phase transitions for incompleteness are results of the following form:

- 1  $T \not\vdash \varphi_{f_n}$ , but
- 2  $T \vdash \varphi_f$ ,

where parameter values  $f_n$  approach  $f$  as  $n$  increases.

In this talk we will treat the heuristics for these results. We will use the easy example of miniaturised Dickson's lemma to illustrate.

# Introduction

## Definition

Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^c$ :

- 1  $|\cdot|$  denotes the sup-norm:  $|a| = \max_{i < c} (a)_i$ ,
- 2  $\leq$  denotes coordinatewise ordering:

$$a \leq b \Leftrightarrow (a)_0 \leq (b)_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge (a)_{c-1} \leq (b)_{c-1}.$$

# Introduction

## Definition

Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^c$ :

- 1  $|\cdot|$  denotes the sup-norm:  $|a| = \max_{i < c} (a)_i$ ,
- 2  $\leq$  denotes coordinatewise ordering:

$$a \leq b \Leftrightarrow (a)_0 \leq (b)_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge (a)_{c-1} \leq (b)_{c-1}.$$

## Definition ( $\text{MDL}_f$ )

For every  $l, c$  there exists  $D =: D_f(c, l)$  such that for every sequence  $m_0, \dots, m_D$  of  $c$ -tuples, with  $|m_i| \leq l + f(i)$ , there exist  $i < j \leq D$  with  $m_i \leq m_j$ .

# Introduction

## Fact

*The provably recursive functions of  $\text{RCA}_0$  are precisely the primitive recursive functions.*



# Introduction

## Fact

*The provably recursive functions of  $\text{RCA}_0$  are precisely the primitive recursive functions.*

## Fact

*Define  $A_0(x) = x + 1$  and  $A_{n+1}(x) = A_n^{(x)}(x)$ . For every primitive recursive function  $f$  there exists  $n$  such that:*

$$f \leq A_n$$

# Introduction

## Definition

We call a sequence  $m_0, \dots, m_R$  bad if  $m_i \not\leq m_j$  for all  $i < j \leq R$ .

# Introduction

## Definition

We call a sequence  $m_0, \dots, m_R$  bad if  $m_i \not\leq m_j$  for all  $i < j \leq R$ .

## Exercise

*For every  $l, n$  there exists a bad sequence*

$$m_0, \dots, m_{A_n(l)}$$

*of  $(n + 1)$ -tuples such that  $|m_i| \leq (l + 1) + i$ .*

# Introduction

## Definition

We call a sequence  $m_0, \dots, m_R$  bad if  $m_i \not\leq m_j$  for all  $i < j \leq R$ .

## Exercise

*For every  $l, n$  there exists a bad sequence*

$$m_0, \dots, m_{A_n(l)}$$

*of  $(n + 1)$ -tuples such that  $|m_i| \leq (l + 1) + i$ .*

## Corollary

$\text{RCA}_0 \not\leq \text{MDL}_{\text{id}}$ .

# Introduction

Notice that, by the finite pigeonhole principle:

$$\text{RCA}_0 \vdash \text{MDL}_{x \mapsto a},$$

for every  $a$ .

# Introduction

Notice that, by the finite pigeonhole principle:

$$\text{RCA}_0 \vdash \text{MDL}_{x \mapsto a},$$

for every  $a$ .

Our goal is to classify some  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  according to the provability of  $\text{MDL}_f$ .

# Introduction: heuristics

Examine the following function:

$$a \mapsto D_{x \mapsto a}(c, l).$$

# Introduction: heuristics

Examine the following function:

$$a \mapsto D_{x \mapsto a}(c, l).$$

## Exercise

$$D_{x \mapsto a}(c, l) = (l + a + 1)^c.$$



## Introduction: heuristics

Observe: Take  $I_n(a) = a^n$ , for every  $n$  there exist  $c, l$  such that:

$$I_n \leq a \mapsto D_{x \mapsto a}(c, l).$$

## Introduction: heuristics

Observe: Take  $l_n(a) = a^n$ , for every  $n$  there exist  $c, l$  such that:

$$l_n \leq a \mapsto D_{x \mapsto a}(c, l).$$

Take  $u(a) = 2^a$ , for every  $c, l$ :

$$a \mapsto D_{x \mapsto a}(c, l) \leq u.$$

## Introduction: heuristics

Observe: Take  $l_n(a) = a^n$ , for every  $n$  there exist  $c, l$  such that:

$$l_n \leq a \mapsto D_{x \mapsto a}(c, l).$$

Take  $u(a) = 2^a$ , for every  $c, l$ :

$$a \mapsto D_{x \mapsto a}(c, l) \leq u.$$

Experience suggests that using  $l_n^{-1}$  as a parameter value will result in *independence*, whilst using  $u^{-1}$  will result in *provability*.

# Introduction: heuristics

## Theorem

- ①  $\text{RCA}_0 \not\vdash \text{MDL}_{\sqrt{\cdot}}$ , *but*
- ②  $\text{RCA}_0 \vdash \text{MDL}_{\log}$ .

Lower bounds

## Lower bounds

### Exercise

For  $l > ?$ :

$$D_{\text{id}}(c, l) \leq D_{\sqrt{l}}(c + n + 1, l).$$

## Lower bounds

### Exercise

For  $l > ?$ :

$$D_{\text{id}}(c, l) \leq D_{\psi}(c + n + 1, l).$$

### Corollary

$\text{RCA}_0 \not\leq \text{MDL}_{\psi}$ .

Upper bounds



## Upper bounds

### Exercise

*If  $i \leq 2^l$ , then  $\log i \leq l$ , so for  $l > ?$ :*

$$D_{\log}(c, l) \leq D_l(c, l) \leq 2^l.$$

## Upper bounds

### Exercise

*If  $i \leq 2^l$ , then  $\log i \leq l$ , so for  $l > ?$ :*

$$D_{\log}(c, l) \leq D_l(c, l) \leq 2^l.$$

### Corollary

$\text{RCA}_0 \vdash \text{MDL}_{\log}$ .

Sharpening

## Sharpening: lower bounds

### Lemma

$\text{RCA}_0 \not\vdash \text{MDL}_f$  for  $f(x) = A^{-1}(x)\sqrt{x}$ .

*Proof:* We examine  $D_f$ . Assume, for a contradiction, that:

$$D_f(2l + 2, l + 1) \leq A(l),$$

## Sharpening: lower bounds

### Lemma

$\text{RCA}_0 \not\vdash \text{MDL}_f$  for  $f(x) = A^{-1}(x)\sqrt{x}$ .

*Proof:* We examine  $D_f$ . Assume, for a contradiction, that:

$$D_f(2l + 2, l + 1) \leq A(l),$$

If  $i \leq A(l)$ ,

## Sharpening: lower bounds

### Lemma

$\text{RCA}_0 \not\vdash \text{MDL}_f$  for  $f(x) = A^{-1}(x)\sqrt{x}$ .

*Proof:* We examine  $D_f$ . Assume, for a contradiction, that:

$$D_f(2l + 2, l + 1) \leq A(l),$$

If  $i \leq A(l)$ , then  $A^{-1}(i) \leq l$ ,

## Sharpening: lower bounds

### Lemma

$\text{RCA}_0 \not\vdash \text{MDL}_f$  for  $f(x) = A^{-1}(\sqrt{x})$ .

*Proof:* We examine  $D_f$ . Assume, for a contradiction, that:

$$D_f(2l + 2, l + 1) \leq A(l),$$

If  $i \leq A(l)$ , then  $A^{-1}(i) \leq l$ , hence  $f(i) \geq \sqrt[l]{i}$ ,

## Sharpening: lower bounds

### Lemma

$\text{RCA}_0 \not\vdash \text{MDL}_f$  for  $f(x) = A^{-1}(x)\sqrt{x}$ .

*Proof:* We examine  $D_f$ . Assume, for a contradiction, that:

$$D_f(2l + 2, l + 1) \leq A(l),$$

If  $i \leq A(l)$ , then  $A^{-1}(i) \leq l$ , hence  $f(i) \geq \sqrt[l]{i}$ , so

$$D_f(2l + 2, l + 1) \geq D_{\sqrt[l]{\cdot}}(2l + 2, l + 1).$$

By earlier proofs, we already know:



## Sharpening: lower bounds

### Lemma

$\text{RCA}_0 \not\vdash \text{MDL}_f$  for  $f(x) = A^{-1}(x)\sqrt{x}$ .

*Proof:* We examine  $D_f$ . Assume, for a contradiction, that:

$$D_f(2l + 2, l + 1) \leq A(l),$$

If  $i \leq A(l)$ , then  $A^{-1}(i) \leq l$ , hence  $f(i) \geq \sqrt[l]{i}$ , so

$$D_f(2l + 2, l + 1) \geq D_{\sqrt[l]{\cdot}}(2l + 2, l + 1).$$

By earlier proofs, we already know:

$$D_{\sqrt[l]{\cdot}}(l + l + 2, l + 1) > A_l(l).$$

## Sharpening: lower bounds

### Lemma

$\text{RCA}_0 \not\vdash \text{MDL}_f$  for  $f(x) = A^{-1}(x)\sqrt{x}$ .

*Proof:* We examine  $D_f$ . Assume, for a contradiction, that:

$$D_f(2l + 2, l + 1) \leq A(l),$$

If  $i \leq A(l)$ , then  $A^{-1}(i) \leq l$ , hence  $f(i) \geq \sqrt[l]{i}$ , so

$$D_f(2l + 2, l + 1) \geq D_{\sqrt[l]{\cdot}}(2l + 2, l + 1).$$

By earlier proofs, we already know:

$$D_{\sqrt[l]{\cdot}}(l + l + 2, l + 1) > A_l(l).$$

Contradiction!



## Sharpening: upper bounds

### Lemma

$\text{RCA}_0 \vdash \text{MDL}_{f_n}$  for  $f_n(x) = {}^{A_n^{-1}(x)}\sqrt{x}$ .

*Proof:* Assume, without loss of generality, that  $A_n^{-1}(i^{A_n(i)}) = i$ ,

## Sharpening: upper bounds

### Lemma

$\text{RCA}_0 \vdash \text{MDL}_{f_n}$  for  $f_n(x) = A_n^{-1(x)}\sqrt{x}$ .

*Proof:* Assume, without loss of generality, that  $A_n^{-1}(i^{A_n(i)}) = i$ , notice that

$$f_n((i+1)^{A_n(i+1)}) = {}^{i+1}\sqrt{(i+1)^{A_n(i+1)}} > i.$$

## Sharpening: upper bounds

### Lemma

$\text{RCA}_0 \vdash \text{MDL}_{f_n}$  for  $f_n(x) = A_n^{-1}(x)\sqrt{x}$ .

*Proof:* Assume, without loss of generality, that  $A_n^{-1}(i^{A_n(i)}) = i$ , notice that

$$f_n((i+1)^{A_n(i+1)}) = {}^{i+1}\sqrt{(i+1)^{A_n(i+1)}} > i.$$

So  $f_n$  is unbounded, hence for any  $l$  there exists  $k \geq l$  such that  $f_n(i) \leq f_n(k^{A_n(k)})$  for all  $i \leq k^{A_n(k)}$ .

## Sharpening: upper bounds

### Lemma

$\text{RCA}_0 \vdash \text{MDL}_{f_n}$  for  $f_n(x) = A_n^{-1(x)}\sqrt{x}$ .

*Proof:* Assume, without loss of generality, that  $A_n^{-1}(i^{A_n(i)}) = i$ , notice that

$$f_n((i+1)^{A_n(i+1)}) = \sqrt[i+1]{(i+1)^{A_n(i+1)}} > i.$$

So  $f_n$  is unbounded, hence for any  $l$  there exists  $k \geq l$  such that  $f_n(i) \leq f_n(k^{A_n(k)})$  for all  $i \leq k^{A_n(k)}$ .

Fix  $c, l > 2^c$  and  $k$  with the above property.

## Sharpening: upper bounds

We claim:

$$D_{f_n}(c, l) \leq k^{A_n(k)}.$$

## Sharpening: upper bounds

We claim:

$$D_{f_n}(c, l) \leq k^{A_n(k)}.$$

If  $i \leq k^{A_n(k)}$ , then  $f_n(i) \leq f_n(k^{A_n(k)})$ , so:

$$D_{f_n}(c, l) \leq D_{f_n(k^{A_n(k)})}(c, l) \leq (l + f_n(k^{A_n(k)}))^c \leq$$



## Sharpening: upper bounds

We claim:

$$D_{f_n}(c, l) \leq k^{A_n(k)}.$$

If  $i \leq k^{A_n(k)}$ , then  $f_n(i) \leq f_n(k^{A_n(k)})$ , so:

$$D_{f_n}(c, l) \leq D_{f_n(k^{A_n(k)})}(c, l) \leq (l + f_n(k^{A_n(k)}))^c \leq$$

$$(l + \sqrt[k]{k^{A_n(k)}})^c \leq (2\sqrt[k]{k^{A_n(k)}})^c \leq k^{A_n(k)}.$$





Thank you for listening.



ペルペッシー フロリヤン  
florian.pelupessy@operamail.com  
pelupessy.github.io